

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,391	10/24/2005	Hermann Briel	05131	2772	
23338 7590 08/22/2008 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1727 KING STREET			PARSLEY, DAVID J		
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/554.391 | BRIEL, HERMANN | Examiner | Art Unit | DAVID J. PARSLEY | 3643 | - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - for Reply | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, INVESTED BY DAYS OF THE COMM 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, INVESTED BY DAYS OF THE COMM 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, INVESTED BY THE MAIN CATTORY.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed to the communication of the communication
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-16-08. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-54</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>27-54</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2005 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colongment is by Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure-Statement(e)-(PTO/SSUS) Pacer Notice (Notice)-(PTO/SSUS) Pacer Notice (Notice)-(PTO/SSUS)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
--	--	--

Application/Control Number: 10/554,391 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

Detailed Action

Amendment

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment dated 6-16-08 and this action
is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 42-43, 47-48, 52 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,657,768 to Snowden.

Referring to claim 42, Snowden discloses a method for scalding slaughter animals including a scalding tunnel for slaughter animals, with steam discharging nozzles - at 70-72, mounted directly in the scalding tunnel - see figure 3, and along a path of conveyance of the slaughter animals - see figure 3, the nozzles are multicomponent nozzles with at least one connection for steam and one connection for water - at 46 and column 5 lines 17-33, whereby

Art Unit: 3643

the nozzles discharge a mixture of steam and water that is sprayed therein and directly supplied to each of the nozzles – see figure 3 and column 5 lines 17-33.

Referring to claims 43 and 52, Snowden further discloses the mixture supplied to the nozzles is supersaturated - see columns 4-6.

Referring to claim 47, Snowden further discloses the mixture sprayed through the multicomponent nozzles and striking the animals is at a temperature between 55 and 70 degrees Celsius - see column 6 lines 10-35.

Referring to claim 48, Snowden further discloses the multicomponent nozzles are arranged in the scalding tunnel in such a way that a circulation of the atmosphere present in the scalding tunnel is effected to such a degree that homogenous or substantially homogenous humidity conditions prevail in the scalding tunnel - see columns 4-6.

Referring to claim 54, Snowden discloses the nozzles are supplied with water at between 20 and 70 degrees Celsius – see column 6 lines 1-35.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
Claims 27-35, 37, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Snowden in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,928,074 to Schrader et al.

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claim 27, Snowden discloses a scalding tunnel for slaughter animals, comprising a tunnel – at 10, disposed therein along a path of conveyance for the slaughtered animals, a plurality of steam discharging nozzles - at 70-72, mounted in the scalding tunnel - see figure 3, and along a path of conveyance of the slaughter animals - see figure 3, the nozzles are multicomponent nozzles with at least one connection for steam and one connection for water – at 46 and column 5 lines 17-33, whereby the nozzles discharge a mixture of steam and water that is sprayed therein – see figures 1-3 and column 5 lines 17-33. Snowden does not disclose nozzles having means for connection to multiple fluid sources. Schrader et al. does disclose a nozzle - at 32-36, having multiple means - see at 24,26 and the connections of 24,26 to 32-36, for connection to multiple fluid sources - see figures 1-3. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the nozzle with multiple fluid connections of Schrader et al., so as to allow for different mixtures of application fluid to be used with the device.

Referring to claim 28, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses the multicomponent nozzles are arranged in the scalding tunnel in such a way that atmosphere present in the scalding tunnel is circulated - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 29, Snowden as modified by Schrader further discloses the multicomponent nozzles are arranged substantially in a base area of the scalding tunnel - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 30, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses the multicomponent nozzles are oriented in such a way that their discharge jets are directed with a substantial component longitudinally of the scalding tunnel - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claim 31, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses one part of the multicomponent nozzles is directed with spraying in the direction of the conveyance of the slaughter animals in the scalding tunnel – see at 70,72 and 162 in figures 3 and 7 of Snowden, and another part of the multicomponent nozzles is directed with spraying opposite to the direction of conveyance of the slaughter animals in the scalding tunnel - see at 70-72 and 162 in figures 3 and 7 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 32, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses in plan view the multicomponent nozzles are arranged on one longitudinal side of the scalding tunnel - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 33, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses a volume control is provided for the amount of steam supplied to the multicomponent nozzles - the pipes carrying the steam - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 34, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses a volume control is provided for the amount of water supplied to the multicomponent nozzles - the pipes carrying the water - see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 35, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses a control valve provided for the amount of steam supplied to at least one multicomponent nozzles – see figure 11 of U.S. Patent No. 3,631,563 to Snowden referenced in column 4 lines 18-28 and the multicomponent nozzles are included in the temperature control – see figure 3 of Snowden.

Referring to claim 37, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses all of the multi-component nozzles are connected to the control valve - see figure 11 of U.S. Patent 3,631,563.

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claim 39, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. further discloses the multicomponent nozzle is a dual component nozzle – see figure 3 and column 5 lines 17-33 of Snowden.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6.019.033 to Wilson et al.

Referring to claim 36, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. does not disclose not all of the nozzles are connected to the control valve. Wilson et al. does disclose not all of the nozzles – at 74, are connected to the control valve – at 70 – see figure 4. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. and add not all of the nozzles are connected to the valve of Wilson et al., so as allow for the flow of fluid to the nozzles to be more accurately controlled.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,326,308 to Norrie.

Referring to claim 38, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. does not disclose the scalding tunnel is designed without ventilation for circulating its internal atmosphere. Norrie dose disclose a scalding tunnel designed without ventilation for circulating its internal atmosphere - see figures 1-2. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. and add the no ventilators of Norrie, so as to allow for the direction of the sprayed substances to be better controlled during use.

Art Unit: 3643

Claims 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of the embodiment of figure 11 of Snowden.

Referring to claims 40-41, Snowden as modified by Schrader et al. does not disclose the multicomponent nozzle is oriented to the horizontal in such a way that its direction of longitudinal discharge, relative to the horizontal describes an angle between 5 and 15 degrees and an angle relative to the vertical of between 30 to 50 degrees. The embodiment of figure 11 of Snowden discloses a movable nozzle that can be moved into the positions with respect to the horizontal and vertical – see figure 11 and column 9 lines 47-70. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the movable nozzle of the embodiment of figure 11 of Snowden as modified by Schrader et al., so as to allow for the device to be used on animals of differing sizes and orientations.

Claims 44-46 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as applied to claim 42 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,019,033 to Wilson et al.

Referring to claim 44, Snowden does not disclose the temperature of the sprayed mixture is above 100 degrees Celsius. Wilson et al. does disclose the temperature of the steam mixture is above 100 degrees Celsius – see column 7 lines 35-45. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the temperature of the mixture being above 100 degrees Celsius of Wilson et al., so as to allow for the device to properly decontaminate the carcass.

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claims 45-46 and 51, Snowden does not disclose the temperature of the sprayed mixture is above 120 to 160 degrees Celsius. Wilson et al. does disclose the temperature of the steam mixture is above 100 degrees Celsius – see column 7 lines 35-45. Snowden as modified by Wilson et al. does not disclose the temperature is specifically between 120 and 160 degrees Celsius. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden as modified by Wilson et al. and add the temperature of the mixture being between 120 and 160 degrees Celsius, so as to allow for the device to properly decontaminate the careass.

Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as applied to claim 42 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,326,308 to Norrie.

Referring to claim 49, Snowden 7 does not disclose the scalding tunnel is designed without ventilation for circulating its internal atmosphere. Norrie dose disclose a scalding tunnel designed without ventilation for circulating its internal atmosphere - see figures 1-2. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the no ventilators of Norrie, so as to allow for the direction of the sprayed substances to be better controlled during use.

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as applied to claim 42 above.

Referring to claim 50, Snowden does not specifically disclose the steam is supplied to the nozzles at between 2 and 6 bars. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the pressure of the steam supplied to the nozzles

Art Unit: 3643

being between 2 and 6 bars, so as to allow for the device to be have enough pressure to force the steam out of the nozzles in a spray pattern.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden as applied to claim 42 above.

Referring to claim 53, Snowden does not disclose the water supplied to the nozzles is at 0.2 bar. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Snowden and add the water pressure of 0.2 bars, so as to ensure that the water is at sufficient pressure to be sprayed from the nozzles.

Response to Arguments

4. Regarding claims 27-54, the Snowden reference US 3657768 discloses mixing steam and water in the steam header – at 46, and then applying the supersaturated mixture to a slaughter animal carcass as seen in column 5 lines 10-33. Further, the nozzles are arranged along the vertical sections of the tunnel to allow for circulation of the fluid throughout the tunnel - see figures 1-5.

The Norrie reference US 5326308 is related to an animal carcass scalding device and thus is deemed to be combinable with the Snowden reference given the motivation to combine these references stated above in paragraph 3 of this office action.

The Wilson et al. reference US 6019033 is a device using nozzles to spray water and steam to animal carcass portions and therefore is deemed to be combinable with Snowden given the motivation to combine these references stated above in paragraph 3 of this office action. Application/Control Number: 10/554,391 Page 10

Art Unit: 3643

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. PARSLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-6890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,391 Page 11

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J Parsley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643